

Re-evaluation of "1's" (All for "Accuracy" -  
No "1's" given for "Value")

<u>Doc</u>	<u>RV</u>	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Final Accuracy</u>	<u>SG</u>	<u>Evaluator</u>
97	A			SG1J	EW
90	B		"		EW
85	C	Evaluator says "1" is "vague" - info is very general	"		EW
<del>84</del>	<del>A</del>	<del>Actually A &amp; C given 1's on this one - vedo</del>	<del>"</del>		EW
72	A	"Right on target" - but no specifics re what that means.	"	SG1J	EW
94	A	High accuracy evaluator's may reflect info from <sup>readily available press articles</sup>	"	SG1J	EW
93	C	"	"		EW
92	C	"	"		EW
82	C	I think evaluator had to "stretch" to get a "1"		SG1I	EW
81	B	Evaluator's comments would not justify a "1"			EW
79	C	"			EW

Of total evaluations, i.e., 6 x 33 = 198, only 157 filled in (need to add 104 & 103 if possible). Of those: 79 V's have no "1's", 78 A's have 10 "1's" but 3 are result of <sup>repeating</sup> press info (92, 93, 94), 1 is "vague" (85) and 3 are inconsistent w/ evaluator's subsequent comments. Only 3 - all from same evaluator however, seem to warrant the marking without (other than <sup>possible</sup> evaluator bias to <sup>evaluate</sup> see remarks in most positive light)